IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

ANTHONY DALE, BRETT JACKSON, JOHNNA FOX, BENJAMIN BORROWMAN, ANN LAMBERT, ROBERT ANDERSON, and CHAD HOHENBERY on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

DEUTSCHE TELEKOM AG, and T-MOBILE US, INC.,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:22-cv-03189

Hon. Thomas M. Durkin

Hon. Jeffrey Cole

JOINT STATUS REPORT

Pursuant to this Court's May 17, 2024 Minute Entry (ECF No. 186), Plaintiffs and Defendant T-Mobile US, Inc. ("T-Mobile"), together "the parties," respectfully submit this Joint Status Report.

I. Discovery Schedule

The parties have agreed to, and the Court has entered, a close of fact discovery of November 13, 2025. ECF No. 123. The parties further agree that the deadline for substantial completion of their respective document productions should be February 28, 2025, which means they will endeavor to have produced all responsive non-privileged documents that they are able to by that date, and the vast majority of their respective productions. The parties will meet and confer regarding interim deadlines, such as a deadline for producing structured data, and will submit a proposal by June 28, 2024.

II. <u>Discovery Progress</u>

A. Written Discovery

Plaintiffs and T-Mobile continue to meet and confer productively on discovery. The parties have met and conferred to resolve T-Mobile's objections to Plaintiffs' First Set of Requests for Production of Documents, and Plaintiffs have offered to refine some of their requests in response to those objections. The parties hope to have all objections resolved by June 28 so that the parties can begin searching for responsive documents.

The parties have exchanged lists of proposed custodians and continue to discuss the custodians T-Mobile will use to identify documents responsive to Plaintiffs discovery requests. T-Mobile initially agreed to approximately 30 custodians and intends to agree to certain additional custodians Plaintiffs recently identified. The parties are currently discussing the approximately 30 additional potential custodians Plaintiffs have proposed. The parties are beginning conversations regarding document collection protocols.

In response to T-Mobile's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents, Plaintiffs have started rolling productions of documents. Plaintiffs have also served responses to T-Mobile's First Set of Interrogatories.

B. Protocols and Stipulations

The parties also continue working together to formalize several protocols and stipulations. On May 2, 2024, T-Mobile sent Plaintiffs redlines to a draft 502(d) order that Plaintiffs circulated on March 25, 2024, and redlines to a deposition protocol and expert stipulation that Plaintiffs proposed on February 22, 2024. The parties continue working together to resolve disagreements and hope to bring closure to these issues by June 28.

C. Pre-Merger Data and Documents Produced to Government Entities

T-Mobile has produced structured data from the states' case in the Southern District of

New York. Plaintiffs continue analyzing that data, and T-Mobile is helping Plaintiffs understand the produced data sets.

T-Mobile has not yet reproduced the custodial documents it produced in the pre-merger investigations (e.g., by the DOJ, FCC, or CPUC) and in the states' case in the Southern District of New York. T-Mobile has asked Plaintiffs to agree not to seek further document discovery from the period encompassed by T-Mobile's pre-merger investigation productions in exchange for T-Mobile reproducing the documents it produced in those pre-merger investigations. To allow Plaintiffs an opportunity to evaluate the scope of those pre-merger productions, T-Mobile has produced some document requests and correspondence from the DOJ investigations and the States' lawsuit. Plaintiffs are still analyzing those materials, and the parties plan to continue working together to resolve the scope of pre-merger productions.

D. <u>Third Parties</u>

Plaintiffs had initial meet and confers with AT&T, Inc., Verizon Communications Inc., and DISH Network Corporation regarding Plaintiffs' requests for production of documents to those non-parties. However, after this Court certified its November 2, 2023 Order for interlocutory appeal, AT&T, Verizon, and DISH refused to meet and confer while the Seventh Circuit considered T-Mobile's petition for leave to file an interlocutory appeal. After the Seventh Circuit denied T-Mobile's petition, Plaintiffs re-engaged with the third parties. If any of these third parties do not begin meaningfully to cooperate in responding to these subpoenas, Plaintiffs anticipate moving to compel. Plaintiffs are in the process of serving a subpoena on SoftBank with requests for production of documents.

Dated: May 24, 2024

/s/ Hill Brakefield

Hill Brakefield (pro hac vice) Swathi Bojedla (pro hac vice) HAUSFELD LLP 888 16th Street N.W., Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20006 Phone: (202) 540-7200 hbrakefield@hausfeld.com sbojedla@hausfeld.com

Gary I. Smith Jr. (pro hac vice) HAUSFELD LLP 600 Montgomery Street, Suite 3200 San Francisco, CA 94111 Phone: (267) 702-2318 gsmith@hausfeld.com

Brendan P. Glackin (pro hac vice)
Lin Y. Chan (pro hac vice)
Nicholas W. Lee (pro hac vice)
Sarah D. Zandi (pro hac vice)
Jules A. Ross (pro hac vice)
LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP
275 Battery Street, 29th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-3339
Phone: (415) 956-1000
bglackin@lchb.com
lchan@lchb.com
nlee@lchb.com
szandi@lchb.com
jross@lchb.com

Eric L. Cramer (pro hac vice)
Jeremy Gradwohl (pro hac vice)
BERGER MONTAGUE PC
1818 Market Street, Suite 3600
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Phone: (415) 215-0962
Phone: (215) 715-3256
ecramer@bm.net
jgradwohl@bm.net

Robert Litan (pro hac vice)
BERGER MONTAGUE PC
1001 G St, N.W. Suite 400 East
Washington, D.C. 20001
Phone: (202) 559-9740
rlitan@bm.net

Joshua P. Davis (pro hac vice) Kyla Gibboney (pro hac vice) Julie Pollock (pro hac vice) BERGER MONTAGUE PC 505 Montgomery Street, Suite 625 San Francisco, CA 94111 Phone: (415) 689-9292 jdavis@bm.net kgibboney@bm.net jpollock@bm.net

Interim Co-Lead Class Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class

Kenneth N. Flaxman
ARDC No. 830399
Joel Flaxman
ARDC No. 6292818
LAW OFFICES OF KENNETH N. FLAXMAN P.C.
200 S Michigan Ave., Suite 201
Chicago, IL 60604
Phone: (312) 427-3200
jaf@kenlaw.com
knf@kenlaw.com

Interim Liaison Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class

/s/ Rachel S. Brass [with permission]
Rachel S. Brass (pro hac vice)

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 555 Mission Street

San Francisco, CA 94105-0921

Phone: 415-393-8200 RBrass@gibsondunn.com Theodore J. Boutrous, Jr. (pro hac vice)
Daniel G. Swanson (pro hac vice)
Rodney J. Stone (pro hac vice)
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
333 South Grand Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197
Phone: 213-229-7000
TBoutrous@gibsondunn.com
DSwanson@gibsondunn.com
RStone@gibsondunn.com

Josh Krevitt (*pro hac vice*) GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 200 Park Avenue New York, NY 10166-0193 USA Phone: 212-351-4000 JKrevitt@gibsondunn.com

Clifford C. Histed ARDC No. 6226815 Michael E. Martinez ARDC No. 6275452 K&L GATES LLP 70 West Madison Street Suite 3300 Chicago, IL 60602-4207 Phone: 312-807-4448 clifford.histed@klgates.com michael.martinez@klgates.com

Counsel for T-Mobile US, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Hill Brakefield, an attorney, hereby certify that this Joint Status Report was electronically filed on May 24, 2024, and will be served electronically via the Court's ECF Notice system upon the registered parties of record.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Hill Brakefield
Hill Brakefield
Interim Co-Lead Class Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class